UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,231	12/01/2003	Gary Kiwimagi	CN1-015US	3725
TRENNER LAW FIRM, LLC 12081 WEST ALAMEDA PARKWAY #163			EXAMINER	
			ALMEIDA, DEVIN E	
LAKEWOOD, CO 80228			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
				-
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/726,231	KIWIMAGI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Devin Almeida	2132					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 December 2003.						
,— · · — —	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa		_					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to			4 404/35				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
· ·	ie Examinier, Note the attacr	led Office Action of form FTO	-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 146.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/1/2003</u> . 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the papers filed 12/1/2003. Claims 1-20 were received for consideration. No preliminary amendments for the claims were filed. Currently claims 1-20 are under consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/1/2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The "computer program product," may be " a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave by a computing system and encoding the computer program to establish a secure connection" according to the specification. This subject matter is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. Instead, it includes a form of energy. Energy does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and

Art Unit: 2132

constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter. Note for further clarification of the claims please refer to MPEP 2163.07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pollack (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2002/0013851). Pollack teaches with respect to claims 1 and 6, a method comprising: providing a network address (see paragraph 0038 i.e. URL) for a system host (see paragraph 0038 i.e. receiving portal) to a remote client (see paragraph 0038 i.e. user) if security credentials for the remote client satisfy at least one condition for accessing the system host; and verifying the remote client is authorized to access the system host in response to a request from the system host to verify the remote client (see paragraph 0038).

With respect to claims 2 and 7, granting the remote client a security key with the network address (see paragraph 0040); and evaluating the security key when it is received from the system host to verify that the remote client is authorized to access the system host (see paragraph 0038 - 0040).

Application/Control Number: 10/726,231

Art Unit: 2132

With respect to claims 3 and 8, requiring the remote client present the system host with a valid security key to verify that the remote client is authorized to access the system host (see paragraph 0010 and 0038 – 0040 i.e. password).

With respect to claims 4 and 9, requiring the remote client timely present the system host with a security key to verify that the remote client is authorized to access the system host (see paragraph 0010 and 0038 – 0040 i.e. password).

With respect to claims 5 and 10, receiving the network address from the system host (see paragraph 0038 – 0040 i.e. URL).

With respect to claims 11, a system comprising: an authorization module receiving a request from a remote client to access a system host, the authorization module providing the remote client with a network address of the system host if the remote client is authorized to access the system host (see paragraph 0038); a verification module receiving a request from the system host to verify that the remote client is authorized to access the system host before granting the remote client access to the system host (see paragraph 0038).

With respect to claims 12, a security key provided to the remote client if the remote client is authorized to access the system host (see paragraph 0040).

With respect to claims 13, a security key provided to the remote client for presentation to the system host to verify that the remote client is authorized to access the system host (see paragraph 0038 - 0040).

With respect to claims 14, a security key provided to the remote client for timely presentation to the system host to verify that the remote client is authorized to access the system host (see paragraph 0038 - 0040).

Application/Control Number: 10/726,231

Art Unit: 2132

With respect to claims 15, an address database having the network address of the system host (see paragraph 0038 i.e. URL).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollack (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2002/0013851) in view of Hull (U.S. Patent # 6,487,457). Pollack teaches everything with respect to claim 11 above but with respect to claim 16 he does not teach a configuration module for accessing a building automation system via the system host if the remote client is granted access to the system host. Hull teaches teach a configuration module for accessing a building automation system via the system host if the remote client is granted access to the system host (see Hull column 5 line 31-40 and column 6 lines 14-22). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have a remote client be able to connect to building automation system to monitor real time pricing and change the furnace thermostat, and AC, to allow the building to take advantage of the best utility rates (see hull column 5 line 27-59 and column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). Therefore one would have been motivated to have included a configuration module for accessing a building automation system via the system host if the remote client is granted access to the system host.

Art Unit: 2132

With respect to claims 17, Hull teaches wherein the configuration module is provided at the remote client (see Hull column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have a remote client be able to connect to building automation system to monitor real time pricing and change the furnace thermostat, and AC, to allow the building to take advantage of the best utility rates by having the remote client have a user interface application for assessing the server and monitoring and controlling the building subsystems (see hull column 5 line 27-59 and column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). Therefore one would have been motivated to have included a configuration module for accessing a building automation system via the system host if the remote client is granted access to the system host.

With respect to claims 18. The system of claim 16 wherein the configuration module is provided at a security host (see Hull abstract) It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have a server be able to monitor real time pricing and change the furnace thermostat, and AC, to allow the building to take advantage of the best utility rates (see hull column 5 line 27-59 and column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). Therefore one would have been motivated to have included a configuration module for accessing a building automation system via the system host if the remote client is granted access to the system host.

With respect to claims 19. The system of claim 16 wherein the configuration module is provided for the remote client via a security host (see Hull column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Art Unit: 2132

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have a remote client be able to connect to building automation system to monitor real time pricing and change the furnace thermostat, and AC, to allow the building to take advantage of the best utility rates by having the remote client have a user interface application for assessing the server and monitoring and controlling the building subsystems (see hull column 5 line 27-59 and column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). Therefore one would have been motivated to have included a configuration module for accessing a building automation system via the system host if the remote client is granted access to the system host.

With respect to claims 20. The system of claim 16 wherein the configuration module is provided for the remote client as a web application (see Hull abstract and column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have a remote client be able to connect to building automation system to monitor real time pricing and change the furnace thermostat, and AC, to allow the building to take advantage of the best utility rates by having the remote client have a browser application for assessing the server and monitoring and controlling the building subsystems (see hull column 5 line 27-59 and column 6 line 57 – column 7 line 7). Therefore one would have been motivated to have included a configuration module for accessing a building automation system via the system host if the remote client is granted access to the system host.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devin Almeida whose telephone number is 571-270-1018.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,231

Art Unit: 2132

Page 8

The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devin Almeida Patent Examiner 1/25/2007

GILBERTO BARRON TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100